BE SUCCESSFULL







Passive ultrasonic irrigation per of a thoroughly and completely








Obturation after Irrisafe

All current publications demonstrate evidence of the efficacy of Passive Ultrasonic Irrigation (PUI), now considered as the best protocol for the cleaning and disinfection of the root canal. Modern Root Canal therapy protocols integrates the final irrigation with a disinfectant solution and a chelating agent activated by ultrasonics, as a mandatory sequence and a guarantee of the treatment success.



PROTOCOL

- The thinnest diameter is recommended for the majority of the clinical cases (IRR 20), the largest instrument can be used for the treatment of juvenile canals (IRR 25).
- The instrument should vibrate freely inside the root canal lumen. IrriSafe is available in two lengths, you can choose from IRR20/21 or IRR20/25 and, IRR25/21 or IRR25/25.
- IrriSafe is inserted 2 mm short of the working-length and it can be pre-shaped, if necessary.
- 20 ml of the irrigant solution is injected into the canal with a syringe. IrriSafe is activated for **10 seconds**, at the recommended color coded power level moving the instrument with a ¼-pull-stroke and backwards: it drives the debris and the smear layer back to the surface.
- Refresh the irrigant 3 times and repeat the process in each root canal.

Its exclusive design helps to remove the smear-layer and to kill the bacteria, even in difficult-to-reach areas (apical third) or in curved canals. It can be used safely, without any risk of damaging the apical structure.

Driven by the **Newtron**® range of piezoelectric generators, **IrriSafe** generates micro-cavitation and micro-currents that spread through the canal system. **It is the best instrument for the passive ultrasonic irrigation currently available.**

Combined with sodium hypochlorite and E.D.T.A., IrriSafe guarantees a better prognosis for the non-surgical endodontic treatments.

formed with IrriSafe provides the guarantee disinfected root canal system



universities.



POWER SETTINGS

	P-MAX NEWTRON XS P5 NEWTRON XS		P5 NEWTRON		P5 BOOSTER		P-MAX NEWTRON PROPHYMAX NEWTRON	
IRRISAFE	Min	Maxi	Min	Maxi	Min	Maxi	Min	Maxi
	6	7	6	7	4	7	4	7



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Ahmad M, Pitt Ford TR, Crum LA (1987).

 Ultrasonic debridement of root canals: acoustic streaming and its possible role.

 Journal of Endodontics 14, 490-499.
- 2. Lumley PJ, Walmsley AD, Walton RE, Rippin JW (1992).

 Effect of precurving endosonic files on the amount of debris and smear layer remaining in curved root canals. Journal of Endodontics 18, 616-619.
- 3. Roy RA, Ahmad M, Crum LA (1994).

 Physical mechanisms governing the hydrodynamic response of an oscillating ultrasonic file. International Endodontic Journal 27, 197-207.
- 4. van der Sluis LWM, Gambarini G, Wu MK, Wesselink PR (2006).

 The influence of volume, type of irrigant and flushing method on removing artificially placed dentine debris from the apical root canal during passive ultrasonic irrigation. International Endodontic Journal 39, 472-4277.
- 5. van der Sluis LWM, Wu MK, Wesselink PR (2007). The evaluation of removal of calcium hydroxide paste from an artificial standardized groove in the apical root canal using different irrigation methodologies. International Endodontic Journal 40, 52-57.
- 6. van der Sluis LWM, Shemesh H, Wu MK and Wesselink PR (2007).

 An evaluation of the influence of passive ultrasonic irrigation on the leakage of root canal fillings. International Endodontic Journal 40, 356-361
- 7. van der Sluis LWM, Versluis M, Wu MK, Wesselink PR (2007).

 Passive ultrasonic irrigation of the root canal: a review of the literature.

 International Endodontic Journal 40, 415-426.
- 8. van der Sluis LWM, Vogels MPJM, Verhaagen B, Macedo R and Wesselink PR (2010).
 - Study on the Influence of Refreshment/Activation Cycles and Irrigants on Mechanical Cleaning Efficiency During Ultrasonic Activation of the Irrigant. Journal of Endodontics 36, 737-739.
- Jiang LM, Verhaagen B, Versluis M and Wesselink PR (2010).
 Physical mechanisms governing the hydrodynamic response of an oscillating ultrasonic file. Journal of Endodontics 36, 1372-1376.
- 10. De Moor RJG, Meire M, Goharkhay K, Moritz A, Vanobbergen J (2010). Efficacy of Ultrasonic versus Laser-activated Irrigation to Remove Artificially Placed Dentin Debris Plugs. Journal of Endodontics 36, 1580-1583.
- 11. R.Macedo, P.R. Wesselink, F. Zaccheo, D. Fanali, L.W.M. van der Sluis.

 Reaction rate of NaOCl in contact with bovine dentine: Effect of activation, exposure time, concentration and pH. International Endodontic Journal.

